

Started on 14 December 2025 at 8:16pm | Completed on 14 December 2025 at 9:16pm

## Form 6

### Further submission in support of, or in opposition to, submission/s on the notified Proposed Kaipara District Plan

*Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991*

This is a further submission that is either **in support of** or **in opposition to** a submission already received by Kaipara District Council on all topics except for the topic of Light in the Proposed Kaipara District Plan.

No new submission points can be made.

The topic of Light was notified for further submissions on 21 October 2025 prior to all other topics due to the hearing for Light being confirmed for December 2025.

- This Form 6 is available for further submissions on all Proposed Kaipara District Plan topics except for Light. The topic of Light was notified for Further Submissions between 21 October 2025 and 4 November 2025 as a hearing for this topic is being held in December 2025.
- **PRIVACY ACT NOTE:** Please note that all information provided in your further submission is considered public under the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and may be published to progress the process for the Proposed Kaipara District Plan and may be made publicly available.
- I understand that information provided in my submission may be published. \*  
1A
  - Yes
  - No

- **Use this page to provide your contact details and indicate your preferences regarding hearings.**

- Please provide your details: \*

2A

**Your  
initial/s  
and last  
name**

Dominic Leigh

**Your  
postal  
address  
(including  
Post  
Code)**

93 Queen Street, Northcote Point, Auckland 0627

**Contact  
phone  
number**

021327328

- Enter your email address:

2B

elitecreations@xtra.co.nz

- Do you have an agent who is acting on your behalf?

2C

- Yes  
 No

- We prefer to contact you by email, as it's faster and more reliable than post.  
2E However, we're happy to use whichever method suits you best — please select your preferred contact method below: \*

- Email  
 Postal

- I am (select one of the following options): \*

2G

- a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest  
 a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has  
 the local authority for the relevant area

- You must specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category (see 2H examples below if you need assistance): \*

Landowner significantly effected by new added coastal protection zones

- *For example: Any person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest would likely include public interest environmental groups*

OR

*Any person that has an interest in the proposed policy statement or plan greater than the interest that the general public has is likely to include owners of land and users of resources directly affected by plan provisions. It is also likely to include iwi and hapu where their interests are directly affected*

- I wish to be heard in support of my further submission \*  
2I
  - Yes
  - No
- If others make a similar further submission, I will consider presenting a joint case  
2J with them at the hearing \*
  - Yes
  - No
- Council planners have summarised all original submissions and each original submission has a unique reference number, listed below in the Summary of Submissions link below - original submitters may have lodged more than one submission - ensure you carefully enter the correct submission details when you get to the Submission questions!.
  - See all of the summarised original submissions here: [Summary of Submissions](#)
  - You can access the entire list of Original Submissions here: [Original Submissions](#)
  - Original submitter contact details can be found here: [Submitter Contact Details](#)

**All of the above documents will open in a new window on your computer, you can also download and save them all if you wish.**

- **Instructions:**
  1. Enter the **Original Submitter's Name** and the **Submission Point Number** you are further submitting on.  
*Ensure accuracy—incorrect details may result in your submission being rejected.*
  2. After completing questions 3B–3E, you can add up to **19 more further submissions** on this form.
  3. If you need to make more than 20 further submissions, **start a new form.**
- Enter the name of the person or organisation who made the original submission  
3A that you are further submitting on: \*

Venessa Anich, recurring theme multiple

- Enter the exact submission point number that you are further submitting on  
3B (incorrect numbers may cause your submission to be rejected):

99.7

FS26.1

- I support/oppose (tick applicable) the original submission of the submitter: \*  
3C
  - I support this original submission point of the submitter named above
  - I oppose this original submission point of the submitter named above

- **Clearly explain below why you support or oppose the original submission point:**

1. Keep your explanation **brief** and ensure you give clear reasons for why you are supporting or opposing the original submission.
2. If you would like to upload any documentation to support your submission - you can do this in the next question.
3. **Important:** Do **not** introduce new submission points - only enter your reasons for supporting or opposing the original submission point.

- The reasons for my support/opposition are: \*  
3D

Based on this weeks announcements for changes to the resource management act I was not going to make a further submission with the big changes that will be occurring, but felt I needed to address this one area. This submission follows a recurring theme by this submitter that buildings should be heavily restricted along Ripiro beach (away from settlements existing) to the point where no build form should be visible from the beach out to the low tide point. I respect peoples individual opinions but this is a submitter who directly creates the fear land owners should have when there property rights are being eroded (this is the 3rd coastal zone being added, how many more bites at the cherry should be acceptable? we should all want coastal protection but this starts to feel like rights being eroded by stealth ). But with so much land falling into the resource consent category unlike building consent which has specific rules and directions resource consent has much opinion and reports and studies and cost, lots of cost. This submitter is a senior resource consent planner who was previously a planner and manager at Kaipara Council so the exact kind of individual I and others would have to submit our resource consent applications to. When the personnel opinion is that you should not be able to reasonably build a home on your private land with a view of the beach (it works both ways) within

reasonable rules and coastal protections already provided for then it is easy to see how it can become a very costly and unreasonable cost based on opinions not rules. I feel my fears are reasonable based on 40 years in the construction industry dealing with consents and resource consents with the last 9 plus years working in building control at New Zealand's biggest council, I understand the costs of fighting opinion. If people want the coast to be a park, regional park or national park then please set out to buy it all so that it can be, I would probably contribute, if not we need to respect private landowners rights to enjoy their environment and its aspects also.

- Do you have any attachments that relate directly to your Further Submission that you would like to upload? \*  
3E
  - Yes
  - No
  
- Do you have another further submission to make? \*  
3G
  - Yes
  - No

## • Thank You for Your Submission

This is confirmation that your further submission has been successfully received and it will be forwarded to the District Planning Team for analysis. If there are any queries on your further submission, we will contact you either via email or telephone.

### Next Steps (Legal Requirement)

- You must serve a copy of your further submission on the original submitter within 5 working days of submitting it to the Council.
- This is your responsibility.
- Download contact details of original submitters here: [Submitter Contact List](#)

### Additional Information:

- If you submitted electronically, **no signature is required**.
- A copy of your submission will be emailed to you shortly.
  - If you don't receive it within a few minutes, check your **spam/junk/updates folders**.
- If you nominated an agent for correspondence, they will also receive a copy.